```
1
                  IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
              OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA
2
    BURT NEWSOME,
3
          Plaintiff,
4
                              CIVIL ACTION NO:
    VS
                              CV-2015-900190
5
    CLARK ANDREW COOPER,
6
    BALCH BINGHAM, et al.,
         Defendants.
7
8
              I, Dena W. Campbell, a Court Reporter
    of Birmingham, Alabama, certify that on this
9
    date there came before the Honorable Carole
10
11
    Smitherman at the Jefferson County Courthouse,
12
    Birmingham, Alabama, on the 11th day of June
    2018, commencing at 9:30 a.m. the parties in
13
    the above cause, whereupon the following
14
15
    proceedings were had:
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

```
APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
    Mr. Charles Brooks
    The Brooks Law Firm, PC
    275 Forest Road, Suite 100
    Hueytown, AL 35023
4
    APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
5
    Mr. Andrew Campbell
    Campbell Guin, LLC
6
    505 20th Street North, Suite 1600
    Birmingham, AL 35203-4622
7
    Mr. James Edward Hill, Jr.
    Hill Hill & Gossett, PC
9
    PO Box 310
    Moody, AL 35004-0310
10
    Mr. Robert Ronnlund
11
    Scott Sullivan Streetman & Fox, PC
    PO Box 380548
12
    Birmingham, AL 35238-0548
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

- 1 MR. NEWSOME: Good morning, Your
- 2 Honor. We're here on our motion for recusal,
- and the test for recusal is whether a
- 4 reasonable person with knowledge of all the
- facts would question the judge's impartiality.
- 6 And it's an objective test requiring the Court
- 7 to look outside of itself and think about
- 8 whether a reasonable third person with
- 9 knowledge of all the facts would question the
- 10 Court's partiality.
- 11 You know, early on in the beginning in
- this case, we filed the case under seal because
- 13 Balch had contended we're filing the suit to
- embarrass them. Balch demanded that the record
- be unsealed, and the Court unsealed it. Later
- on in the case, when evidence surfaced that
- 17 Balch had, in fact, done what I said they had
- done and a phone number came up connecting all
- of them, they came back. When it became
- embarrassing to them, they wanted the case
- sealed, and the Court allowed them to argue
- 22 both sides of the same coin inside the same
- case in violation of conditions of judicial

- 1 estoppel.
- In the order sealing the case, it even
- 3 threatened our side with being turned over to
- 4 the bar if we said anything to any member of
- 5 the media about the current proceedings. It's
- 6 all been shut down.
- 7 After that, I subpoena AT&T for phone
- 8 numbers seeking evidence of the conspiracy in
- 9 my complaint, and I don't get answers to my
- subpoena. I, then, subpoena AT&T for any
- evidence have any of the Defendants instructed
- them not to send me what I have requested. I
- get back where Attorney Robert Ronnlund has
- sent AT&T a letter giving them five specific
- numbers that I had no knowledge of not to send
- me the phone records on those numbers. That's
- a letter I had never seen before. It was not
- 18 filed with the Court. There was no objection
- 19 pending.
- THE COURT: This is not summary
- judgment. This is about your request for me to
- remove myself after three years of litigation.
- MR. NEWSOME: Right. Well, the point

- of that is, you know, when evidence surfaces in
- the case that's embarrassing to Balch and you
- reseal it, you threaten us with the bar.
- 4 There's even a line about being turned over to
- 5 the Jefferson County DA. When evidence
- 6 surfaces of them suppressing evidence,
- obstructing justice, I've heard nothing about
- 8 that. It's been raised over and over in
- 9 various pleadings and not only --
- THE COURT: You are distorting the
- 11 facts.
- MR. NEWSOME: No, ma'am. That is --
- 13 that's the fact.
- THE COURT: I'm just here to listen.
- 15 Those are not the facts.
- MR. NEWSOME: He clearly instructed
- 17 AT&T --
- THE COURT: I'm not going to argue
- with you. Go on with what you have to tell me.
- MR. NEWSOME: And on top of the --
- THE COURT: And while you're at it,
- talk about the numerous times that I continued
- this case at your request so that you could

- 1 have information or you could wait for the
- 2 Supreme Court to rule and for any other matter
- in terms of the release. Talk about that,
- while you're at it. Talk about how the Court
- 5 stayed its decision so you could have
- 6 discovery. Talk about that, if you will.
- 7 MR. NEWSOME: I'll talk about whatever
- 8 the Court wants me to talk about, but on top of
- 9 the judicial estoppel and Balch arguing both
- sides of the coin in the same case and nothing
- being said about the clear suppression of
- evidence that was supportive of my case, the
- manner in which Balch has structured their
- 14 contributions both to your campaign and your
- 15 husband's campaign --
- THE COURT: What does my husband have
- to do with this? Not anything. I don't know
- 18 anything about my husband's campaign. You are
- out of order in those regards.
- MR. NEWSOME: Well, that's subjective,
- 21 and the test --
- THE COURT: I cannot believe you and
- Mr. Brooks even brought my husband up into

- this. I can't believe that. You're going to
- talk about the day he comes by here to take me
- 3 to the doctor? Yes. Anything else?
- 4 You have attacked my family unfairly,
- 5 and that is wrong. You and the person who you
- 6 said you didn't know and you had nothing to do
- 7 with this website that you sent all over
- 8 America. You said you had nothing to do with
- <sup>9</sup> it.
- MR. NEWSOME: I don't know what you're
- 11 talking about, Judge.
- THE COURT: I bet you don't.
- MR. NEWSOME: Well, I sense evidence
- of bias and hostility right there, Judge,
- 15 honestly, that --
- THE COURT: Yes, I am upset with you
- for bringing my husband into this.
- MR. NEWSOME: They brought your
- 19 husband --
- THE COURT: I didn't know anything
- about half the stuff that you wrote. Can't you
- understand we are family, that he can do what
- he does, and I can do what I do? Thirty-five

- 1 years, a member of a bar. I don't follow my
- husband around. He doesn't do that to me.
- What else do you have to say to me?
- 4 Yes, I am upset with you but not upset
- 5 that I'm not clear about what you are doing and
- 6 how you're trying to manipulate this whole
- <sup>7</sup> case.
- No, Mr. Brooks, come on. You're with
- 9 him, too.
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I don't
- believe that my name is on that pleadings.
- THE COURT: You are here, and you're
- 13 counsel.
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I am, and I'm
- representing a lawyer who has a right to file
- anything that he sees fit.
- THE COURT: I understand that. I
- 18 understand that.
- MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you, Your
- Honor.
- THE COURT: But you are just as
- involved as he is.
- MR. NEWSOME: I mean, I wasn't

1 attacking anybody. 2 THE COURT: Pardon me? 3 MR. NEWSOME: I wasn't attacking. THE COURT: You are attacking my 4 5 family. You certainly are. 6 MR. NEWSOME: No, ma'am. THE COURT: What about the numerous 7 8 contributions you have given to judges? What 9 about those? 10 MR. NEWSOME: I gave -- I've given contributions to judges when they were in 11 12 competitive --13 THE COURT: When you had cases before 14 them, your cases before them. What about 15 those? 16 MR. NEWSOME: I have given 17 contributions to judges in contested campaigns 18 and later on had cases in front of them, that's 19 This case -- this case is different. true. 20 THE COURT: How so? A campaign is only over until the votes are certified by the 21 22 secretary of state in November. 23 MR. NEWSOME: One, neither you or your

- 1 husband have any opposition in the races.
- THE COURT: That has nothing to do
- 3 with a campaign, sir. I still have to
- 4 campaign.
- 5 MR. NEWSOME: And, two, the timing of
- 6 the contributions in this case, their
- 7 contributions, the second --
- 8 THE COURT: You are stretching this
- <sup>9</sup> the same as you have the facts in this case,
- and you know it's going to come out. I wasn't
- 11 going to say anything until I saw where you
- were going in terms of attacking my family.
- MR. NEWSOME: Nothing in there was
- meant as an attack on your family.
- THE COURT: It is just the same upset
- 16 you were when you said that they were attacking
- your family, and you put your little children
- on the website. What's the difference?
- MR. CAMPBELL: Are you finished?
- THE COURT: Let him finish, please. I
- have all morning.
- MR. NEWSOME: They created the
- 23 appearance of impropriety by the way they

- 1 structured their contributions and the timing
- of their contributions. It's not an attack on
- you or your family.
- 4 THE COURT: You're talking about the
- 5 2013 contribution before I was a judge and I
- 6 was member of the Birmingham City Council? Are
- 7 those ones you're speaking of?
- 8 MR. NEWSOME: I'm talking about
- 9 primarily the contributions in November of
- 10 2017, February, April, and May of 2018.
- THE COURT: What about them? Talking
- 12 about to my husband again?
- MR. NEWSOME: Some of those
- 14 contributions were to your husband, and some of
- those contributions were to you, as well.
- THE COURT: And?
- MR. NEWSOME: The timing of those and
- the process of where we were in this case
- create the question of whether or not they were
- trying to improperly influence you.
- THE COURT: No, no. I have been a
- 22 political figure all of my life, an
- independent, if you will. How do you think I

- 1 got this far in life? By God's grace, and he
- tells me to bite on my tongue right now so I
- 3 can get through this hearing.
- 4 Mr. Campbell?
- 5 MR. CAMPBELL: May it please the
- 6 Court, Your Honor, I'm Andy Campbell. I
- 7 represent Balch Bingham. Your Honor, I've been
- 8 before this Court and officer of this Court 35
- 9 years, 65 years old. I have never seen a
- 10 plaintiff, this gentleman, make a mockery of
- justice out of a frivolous lawsuit in all those
- 12 years like I have in this case.
- Now, if we're heading where he thinks
- we're heading, which is, if you don't have a
- case, you get your buddy and your client,
- 16 Mr. Forbes, to start slandering a judge and her
- family and opposing counsel. And I'm in the
- 18 party now. He slandered me at midnight last
- night, and himself including. If that's where
- we're headed, Judge, we're fastly losing the
- rule of law in this county and the independence
- of our courts.
- I'm a little emotional this morning,

- 1 Your Honor, because I believe in the
- independence of these courts, and I believe in
- the rule of law. I believe as an officer of
- 4 the court, I have a duty, fundamental duty, to
- 5 defend this Court, defend the honor of the
- 6 lawyers in this case, and my client against
- 7 what are a repeated set of lies and filings in
- 8 this Court both with respect to the merits --
- 9 and we dealt that with two weeks ago -- and on
- this motion last night.
- If this is where we're going and this
- is where this gentleman wants it to go, we're
- going to lose the rule of law in this county
- and the independence of our judges because
- cases will be tried -- a judge gets a frivolous
- 16 case. She indicates she may throw it out.
- 17 They start attacking her saying, We're going to
- 18 sue you for RICO on a website to try to force
- 19 you to give it up and give him a second bite at
- the apple with another judge. That's exactly
- what he's doing.
- Mr. Brooks can sit there and say, I
- didn't join in this, but his ethical obligation

- is to withdraw from this case. If he doesn't
- believe in this motion, he should be having a
- motion to withdraw in your case last week when
- 4 it was filed. It's wrong. It's morally wrong.
- 5 It's legally wrong. It's ethically wrong what
- 6 they are doing in this case because he thinks
- 7 he's going to get ruled against on summary
- 8 judgment, as he should, because the facts and
- 9 the law do not support him.
- So he starts with Mr. Forbes last week
- vicious attacks on you and on Balch Bingham.
- 12 This cannot stand, Your Honor. This cannot
- 13 stand. If this rule -- if this motion for
- 14 recusal goes anywhere, this will open the flood
- gates to people like him abusing the system and
- 16 attacking the independence of our judges.
- I dare say, Judge, if you let this
- 18 case go, which I don't think you should, they
- would be subject to the same attacks. This
- case needs to end, Judge, needs to end today,
- 21 right after this hearing. We have submitted a
- 22 proposed order addressing summary judgment in
- this motion. It's only going to get worse in

- 1 the sense that the slander, the false filings
- in violation of Rule 11, the accusation against
- 3 lawyers for lying -- and he accused me of lying
- 4 three separate times in the pleading last
- 5 night. It's only going to continue, Judge.
- 6 That's why this case has got to end.
- 7 Let me give you an example. This is
- 8 the list of illegitimate contributions. Carole
- 9 Smitherman appears twice. They're all to Roger
- 10 Smitherman. Under our law, that has nothing to
- do with this motion for recusal. It can't.
- 12 Supreme Court and every court in this country
- has recognized you don't attribute to a spouse
- 14 contributions going to another family member.
- The two he cites, Hare Wynn and I gave
- you basically contributions February 6, 2018,
- over three months ago. Judge, I didn't know
- this case existed. I had no relationship with
- 19 Balch Bingham then. I gave as a lawyer because
- I have always given to this Court because this
- 21 Court is a great Court and a good judge and a
- great trial judge and a very fair judge, as
- have others such as Hare Wynn.

- 1 He accuses me in a lie last night of
- 2 having connection with Balch Bingham, and I was
- in the process of entering the case then.
- 4 That's a lie, Judge. I didn't know anything
- 5 about this case. Allen called me late April,
- 6 early May.
- 7 Hare Wynn is accused of being the
- 8 agent of Balch Bingham, and that's news to me.
- 9 It's just like this attitude of I can make it
- up as I go. I can put it on the website
- 11 accusing a judge of corruption and a law firm
- of corruption and the lawyers of corruption,
- and there is no consequences. There are
- 14 consequences to this, Judge. There are
- consequences to this, and the consequence today
- in this court is to follow the law. Deny this
- 17 frivolous motion. Stand up for independent
- 18 judiciary. I'm standing up. He knows well a
- 19 court can't defend itself on the website. A
- court can't defend itself in the news. That's
- the problem with lawyers being allowed to write
- 22 cyber slander or have their clients do it.
- Judges can't defend themselves. So it falls on

- 1 us to do it, and we're going defend it. We're
- going to defend it hard, and I'm defending it
- this morning with every bone in my body. This
- 4 is wrong. It's morally wrong.
- We've got to deal it with the best way
- to deal with it, and the best way to deal with
- 7 it, Judge, is to dismiss this case, force the
- 8 attorneys fees against him for what he's done,
- 9 and let him take it to Montgomery. He won't
- 10 get the time of day in Montgomery. They've
- 11 already rejected -- ruled against him in one
- case. Let the judges see what he's doing, and
- maybe there will be some relief, extrajudicial
- relief, Judge, that comes of this because it's
- a mockery of justice. And he's trying to make
- 16 your courtroom one, Your Honor, and I ask you
- overrule it today and grant summary judgment,
- which should have been granted earlier.
- This Court very fairly gave him
- 20 continuance after continuance to conduct
- discovery. He's abused that. He's made up
- 22 lies. It needs to end.
- Political contributions are part of

- our system. Until the legislature does away
- with them and makes all judges appointed, they
- are not grounds, they're not grounds, for --
- 4 even if they were directly made to Your Honor,
- 5 they are not grounds for recusal. There's
- 6 certainly nothing wrong with contributions
- being made or made to family members, and,
- 8 frankly, these PACs have nothing to do with
- 9 Balch Bingham. He made that up, too. The five
- 10 PACs, Balch Bingham doesn't control them. They
- 11 are not alteregos. There's no evidence of
- that. Again, it's make it up as you go.
- When I started practicing law with
- 14 people like Bibb Allen, Warren Lightfoot, this
- kind of behavior, Your Honor, was unheard of.
- What have we become?
- We and the bar are letting this
- happen, but the courts and the bar, those of us
- who believe the other way, have got to take a
- 20 stand against this. And the clearest safest
- 21 avenue to get rid of this mockery of justice is
- to deny this motion and grant our motion for
- dismissal and summary judgment.

- Otherwise, Your Honor, this man is
- going to be tempted to continue this onslaught,
- 3 continue with these false statements, and it
- 4 will stop -- it will not stop. That's why it
- 5 needs to end today, Your Honor. And I
- 6 appreciate this Court's fairness in giving
- every plaintiff an opportunity to prove their
- 8 case in discovery, but there comes a time,
- <sup>9</sup> Judge, for it to end, and the time is now. It
- is out of our hands. It is wrong. It is
- doubly wrong to attack a court, attack her
- 12 family, and the lawyers. Next thing I know,
- he's going to be attacking my golden retriever,
- <sup>14</sup> Judge, if I let him.
- I ask the Court today to end this,
- 16 Your Honor, as an officer of the court not only
- 17 representing my client but as somebody who has
- been on every bar committee in this state.
- 19 This is wrong, and this conduct has got to end.
- Thank you, Your Honor.
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I want to
- 22 address the one point that was made directly to
- me. The motion for summary judgment, the way I

- 1 argued it is supported by law, and that's the
- <sup>2</sup> way I intended to.
- Number two, the second point that I
- 4 believe was being brought up about controlling
- 5 extra-judiciary information, I have no control
- 6 over that. Any accusations that anybody here
- 7 had control of it, I haven't seen evidence of
- 8 that, either, as it became something that was
- <sup>9</sup> in the foray of people being interested in it.
- 10 So to say that is just simply not true in terms
- of some control that I had over anything
- 12 outside of it.
- Lastly, the point about withdrawing, a
- lawyer cannot withdraw within a pending summary
- judgment motion whether he think he's going to
- win or not. Even if he thinks he going to
- lose, he can't withdraw from a case because he
- 18 thinks that he lost the argument to
- 19 Mr. Campbell. So that issue, I think, is a
- 20 nonstarter.
- MR. NEWSOME: Just in response to what
- he said, he makes my allegations seem like
- they're all so farfetched, what I bring about

- about them attempting to improperly influence
- you. They go in trial 11 days from now for
- this very thing, for bribing an official. What
- 4 I'm saying --
- 5 THE COURT: If you don't stop that --
- 6 MR. NEWSOME: It's true.
- 7 THE COURT: It has no place in this
- 8 court.
- 9 MR. NEWSOME: Well, they're --
- THE COURT: Control your client,
- 11 Mr. Brooks.
- What do I have to do with that? Not a
- doggone thing, and you know it.
- MR. NEWSOME: I'm talking about them.
- 15 I'm talking about them.
- THE COURT: That has no place in this
- 17 case.
- What else?
- MR. HILL: May I?
- THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- MR. HILL: Thank you, Judge. One
- thing -- sort of as an aside, I also am running
- for reelection. I also am unopposed, and I get

- 1 contributions. That argument is ludicrous. It
- <sup>2</sup> is ludicrous.
- But here's the thing, Judge. Let's
- 4 get back to what's going on. He pulled a gun
- on a man. The man got a warrant for menacing
- 6 against him. They went to the Shelby County
- 7 District Court, and he made a deal, an
- 8 agreement through his attorney, to take
- 9 deferred prosecution, signed a release, and get
- the case dismissed. And that's what happened.
- He, then, attacks it. Judge Conwill
- writes an order that obviously threw out his
- motion to expunge, but here's also what Judge
- 14 Conwill said, that the release is valid. The
- 15 release is valid.
- He appealed that. The Court of Civil
- 17 Appeals upheld Judge Conwill. The Supreme
- 18 Court denied his petition for cert. What he
- just said is the truth. This case is over.
- 20 This case should be over.
- You know, I started practicing law in
- 22 1976. I've been at it 42 years, 19 of those
- years on the bench where I tried a bunch of

- 1 cases. Never in my life have I seen a bigger
- 2 mockery of the court system than has been made
- 3 in this case by an individual who signed a
- 4 release to get what he wanted. The motion
- ought to be granted. The requests for attorney
- 6 fees that have been made ought to be granted,
- <sup>7</sup> and we ought to get this over with.
- Thank you, ma'am.
- 9 MR. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, just if I
- 10 may supplement, I want to hand out what they
- 11 filed morning, more slander, his client. This
- is part of our supplement we filed this
- morning, Your Honor. I'm going to hand it up.
- 14 It's basically what they put on the website
- 15 Friday. It speaks for itself, Your Honor.
- MR. RONNLUND: Briefly, Your Honor,
- 17 Robby Ronnlund here for Claiborne Seier. Being
- in a position with the Court, I have previously
- thought it wise to chew my tongue. I'm going
- to for the most part today. Certainly,
- Mr. Campbell and Mr. Hill have both made some
- 22 arguments that I think have far more weight
- than what I was going to say.

- There's one point I want to make about
- what all this has cost and what all this has
- 3 called for in this case. We've had all these
- 4 pleadings filed. We've had all of these posts
- on the internet. We've had letters written to
- 6 my wife's employer. We've had anything under
- 7 the sun that you can imagine. What do they
- 8 call for? They don't call for justice. They
- 9 don't call for this Court to enter a ruling.
- 10 They don't call for a jury to return a verdict.
- 11 They call for a settlement. Every single
- letter, it doesn't demand justice. It doesn't
- demand a verdict. It doesn't demand the truth.
- 14 It demands an out-of-court nonjudicial payment
- of money to Mr. Newsome because that's what
- this is all about. And I think that's what
- 17 rings truest in all of this. Nobody is calling
- 18 for a trial in this case. No one is calling
- 19 for a verdict. They're calling to extort a
- 20 payment of money.
- It's one of the most -- echoing what
- they said, I certainly haven't practiced for
- near the length of time that either

- 1 Mr. Campbell or Mr. Hill have, but I have been
- in this courtroom a number of times. I've
- tried a number of cases. And like they said,
- 4 I've never seen anything like it. We didn't
- 5 study anything like that in law school, either.
- 6 I would echo their call that the proper thing
- 7 to do would be to dismiss this case and enter
- 8 the attorneys fees.
- 9 I would also point out Mr. Brooks has
- 10 said, I'm not involved in any of this. The
- website has had numerous leaks from
- 12 Mr. Newsome's legal team. I don't know if
- Mr. Brooks is going to stand up and disavow
- that. There's also been, We don't run the
- website. What about who is financing it? Who
- is paying on Facebook? Who's paying on Google?
- Who's paying to run TV advertisements? Who's
- 18 paying to mail stuff out to stockholders and
- 19 people's spouses, publicly-traded employers? I
- 20 don't know. I don't think Mr. Newsom is going
- to stand up here and disavow under oath that he
- 22 has not offered -- there was recently a call on
- this website's Facebook page that they've

- advertised to give a \$20,000 reward if anyone
- will come forward with information. The very
- next post asks for \$5 donations to pay for some
- 4 lady's \$600 worth of dental care.
- If Mr. Newsome wants to stand up here
- 6 as an officer of the court and deny that he had
- 7 anything to do with that, I would certainly be
- 8 interested to hear it. I think it's further
- 9 evidence this is directly related and
- 10 attributable to him, and I think that it's
- something that should be dealt with
- 12 appropriately by this Court.
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, permission to
- speak, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: I have no knowledge of
- anything that's on that website except the
- 17 pleadings that have been filed the other day,
- 18 no knowledge. A judge can't read that kind of
- 19 stuff.
- MR. RONNLUND: I would suggest that
- you not if you want to maintain your composure.
- THE COURT: Well, I will not.
- MR. BROOKS: One --

- THE COURT: Wait a minute, Mr. Brooks.
- MR. BROOKS: Real quick, Your Honor,
- 3 I'll answer that question. No, I haven't given
- 4 any money to anybody originating a website.
- 5 More importantly, that particular organization
- 6 has been using very aggressive tactics when it
- 7 took on a healthcare company. And I know Your
- 8 Honor told me a long time ago you didn't want
- 9 to hear anything about it, so I'm not going to
- 10 bring it up. But that particular entity,
- 11 that's what they do. I have no association
- with them other than the ones I have said under
- oath to this Court a long time ago.
- THE COURT: Mr. Brooks, you know full
- well the reason why everything has happened.
- 16 The Court was trying to protect the integrity
- of our jury system. That's why the case was
- 18 sealed. That's why, and you know it. I said
- 19 it sitting here.
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor --
- THE COURT: I said it sitting here.
- 22 And if you have any knowledge, any knowledge,
- about any of this website business that is not

- 1 factual, then you have an obligation as a
- 2 member of the bar and an officer of this court.
- MR. BROOKS: I agree, Your Honor, and
- 4 I do not.
- 5 THE COURT: You mean to tell me --
- 6 MR. BROOKS: Let me answer the
- <sup>7</sup> question.
- 8 THE COURT: You mean to tell me you
- 9 haven't read any of this stuff?
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor, I've
- 11 read it.
- THE COURT: If you've read it and knew
- it wasn't the truth, why didn't you come
- 14 forward?
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor --
- THE COURT: You know why I sealed this
- case. There was stuff going everywhere talking
- 18 about the case, all on the website. I told
- 19 every lawyer in here that I was going to
- 20 protect the jury system, and I wanted an
- unbiassed jury to sit and hear this case, if it
- got that far. Now, tell me I'm not right.
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, you're

- absolutely right.
- THE COURT: There's nothing else.
- MR. BROOKS: Can I context that
- 4 slightly, Your Honor? The context of it was,
- 5 at the time, as I recall, prior to being
- 6 sealed, there was salacious issues about what
- 7 was going on in terms of the law firm at that
- 8 time. So an entity --
- 9 THE COURT: What did that have to do
- 10 with me?
- MR. BROOKS: Nothing, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Nothing at all.
- MR. BROOKS: Nothing, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: What does it have to do
- with my husband?
- MR. BROOKS: Nothing, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Nothing at all. You sit
- 18 back and tell me you didn't read these
- 19 pleadings? You drove over here from Georgia to
- <sup>20</sup> just sit here?
- MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, my concern
- was -- I'll respond to that. My concern was
- what was it that was filed that I was going to

- be -- I needed to be able to respond to
- 2 arguments as it relates to me. One of those
- 3 arguments that has been raised is that I was
- 4 arguing for something within the context of a
- 5 summary judgment motion that I shouldn't have.
- 6 That's conflating two different things, which
- 7 is this motion and the hearing unto itself and
- 8 what is proper --
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. Brooks, I took an oath
- 10 as a lawyer when there were no black lawyers.
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Arthur Shores and maybe
- two or three others.
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: I will not allow any of
- the work I have done to be undone by this.
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: And you know it.
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You and I have been
- 21 acquaintances in the bar since you became a
- member.
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor.

- THE COURT: You and your brother both.
- MR. BROOKS: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: I am the oldest sitting
- <sup>4</sup> judge, black American judge, in this state, and
- 5 this is what I get. I'm disappointed.
- 6 MR. NEWSOME: One thing he said about
- $^7$  my legal team leaking to that website is wrong.
- 8 We have not leaked anything to anybody. We
- 9 have honored the protective order. He said we
- don't seek a jury trial. That's not true.
- 11 That's what we've been seeking for three years.
- THE COURT: And I was to protect the
- integrity of that process, and that's what I
- <sup>14</sup> did.
- So let him talk. Make your record.
- Take it to Montgomery. There's 65 up there
- right now. My conclusion in this case is 24,
- 18 48 hours away.
- MR. NEWSOME: Also, addressing what
- Mr. Hill said, that nothing -- the people in
- 21 Shelby County, the Court made no determination
- as to whether or not that release was obtained
- by fraud, and that's what we assert. And

- that's what we assert in this Court.
- THE COURT: I have created every
- yolume up here on my desk. These are all
- 4 Newsome volumes. I have read every piece of
- 5 paper in there. I have read every piece of
- 6 paper that is in my chambers. I am not
- <sup>7</sup> ill-prepared. I am not ill-prepared person.
- 8 MR. CAMPBELL: One last thing, Your
- 9 Honor. There has been no showing of bias by
- this Court, nor could there be any showing of
- bias. There's been no showing for any basis
- 12 for recusal. This Court has bent over
- 13 backwards. I'm new to this case, and I've read
- everything in it. This Court has done nothing
- but bend over backwards to give fairness to
- this Plaintiff. I want the record to reflect
- that. The Court was trying to protect all
- 18 parties and the jury system when the Court
- 19 acted in her discretion. And this Court has
- 20 always been a hallmark of justice and will
- 21 remain so in this county.
- I say that as an officer of the court
- 23 because if this goes up, the Supreme Court

```
needs know this Court has established a record
 1
    of fairness, honesty, and level playing field
 2
    for every litigant that's ever appeared before
 3
    you. I am proud to have served in front of
 4
    you, Judge, and I think this is atrocious. I
 5
 6
    think the appropriate way to deal with it is
    what I said earlier.
 8
              If the Plaintiff wants to seek
    appellate review, let him go. We cannot have
 9
    this conduct in our courts before our judges
10
    who are doing everything to treat everybody
11
12
    fairly. It's just wrong, not just legally
13
    wrong, morally wrong, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Thank you. The lord is my
15
    shield and my protector. I fear no man.
16
              Is there anything further?
17
             MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              (Hearing concluded at 10:05 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF ALABAMA)
4	JEFFERSON COUNTY)
5	I hereby certify that the above and
6	foregoing hearing was taken down by me on
7	Computerized Stenotype, and the proceedings
8	herein were transcribed by me, and that the
9	foregoing represents a true and correct
10	transcript of the hearing given by said
11	parties.
12	I further certify that I am neither of
13	counsel, nor of kin to the parties in the
14	action, nor am I in anywise interested in the
15	result of said cause.
16	
17	
18	
19	/g/ Dona Campbell
20	/s/ Dena Campbell
21	Dena Campbell, CCR
22	CCR #34, Expires 9/30/18 Commissioner for the
23	State of Alabama at Large Commission Expires: 5/9/22

Tribulou Itelas			
WORD INDEX		anywise 34:14	<b>BALCH</b> 1:6 3:13,
	< 9 >	appealed 22:16	14, 17 5:2 6:9, 13
< \$ >	9 34:21, 23	Appeals 22:17	12:7 14:11 15:19
<b>\$20,000</b> 26:1	<b>9:30</b> 1:13	appearance 10:23	16:2, 8 18:9, 10
<b>\$5</b> 26:3		appeared 33:3	bar 4:4 5:3 8:1
<b>\$600</b> 26: <i>4</i>	< A >	APPEARING 2:1, 5	18:17, 18 19:18
	<b>a.m</b> 1:13 33:18	appears 15:9	28:2 30:21
<1>	able 30:1	appellate 33:9	basically 15:16
<b>10:05</b> 33: <i>18</i>	absolutely 29:1	apple 13:20	23:14
<b>100</b> 2: <i>2</i>	abused 17:21	appointed 18:2	basis 32:11
<b>11</b> 15:2 21:2	abusing 14:15	appreciate 19:6	beginning 3:11
<b>11th</b> 1:12	accusation 15:2	appropriate 33:6	BEHALF 2:1, 5
<b>1600</b> 2:6	accusations 20:6	appropriately 26:12	behavior 18:15
<b>18</b> 34: <i>21</i>	accused 15:3 16:7	<b>April</b> 11:10 16:5	believe 6:22 7:1
<b>19</b> 22: <i>22</i>	accuses 16:1	argue 3:21 5:18	8:11 13:1, 2, 3
<b>1976</b> 22: <i>22</i>	accusing 16:11	argued 20:1	14:2 18:19 20:4
	acquaintances	arguing 6:9 30:4	bench 22:23
< 2 >	30:21	argument 20:18	bend 32:15
<b>2013</b> 11:5	acted 32:19	22:1	bent 32:12
<b>2017</b> 11: <i>10</i>	ACTION 1:4	arguments 23:22	best 17:5, 6
<b>2018</b> 1:13 11:10	34:14	30:2, 3	bet 7:12
15: <i>16</i>	address 19:22	<b>Arthur</b> 30:12	bias 7:14 32:9, 11
<b>20th</b> 2:6	addressing 14:22	aside 21:22	<b>Bibb</b> 18:14
<b>22</b> 34: <i>23</i>	31:19	asks 26:3	bigger 23:1
<b>24</b> 31: <i>17</i>	advertised 26:1	assert 31:23 32:1	BINGHAM 1:6
<b>275</b> 2: <i>2</i>	advertisements	association 27:11	12:7 14:11 15:19
•	25:17	atrocious 33:5	16:2, 8 18:9, 10
< 3 >	agent 16:8	attack 10:14 11:2	Birmingham 1:9,
<b>30</b> 34: <i>21</i>	aggressive 27:6	19: <i>11, 11</i>	12 2:7, 12 11:6
<b>310</b> 2:9	ago 13:9 15:17	attacked 7:4	bite 12:2 13:19
<b>34</b> 34: <i>21</i>	27:8, 13	attacking 9:1, 3, 4	black 30:10 31:4
<b>35</b> 12:8	<b>agree</b> 28:3	10:12, 16 13:17	<b>body</b> 17:3
<b>35004-0310</b> 2:9	agreement 22:8	14:16 19:13	<b>bone</b> 17:3
<b>35023</b> 2: <i>3</i>	<b>al</b> 1:6 2:3, 7, 9, 12	attacks 14:11, 19	<b>Box</b> 2:9, 11
<b>35203-4622</b> 2:7	<b>ALABAMA</b> 1:1, 9,	22:11	bribing 21:3
<b>35238-0548</b> 2: <i>12</i>	12 34:3, 22	attempting 21:1	<b>Briefly</b> 23:16
<b>380548</b> 2: <i>11</i>	allegations 20:22	attitude 16:9	bring 20:23 27:10
	<b>Allen</b> 16:5 18:14	Attorney 4:13	bringing 7:17
<4>	<b>allow</b> 30:15	22:8 23:5	<b>Brooks</b> 2:1, 2 6:23
<b>42</b> 22: <i>22</i>	allowed 3:21 16:21	attorneys 17:8	8:8, 10, 14, 19
<b>48</b> 31: <i>18</i>	alteregos 18:11	25:8	13:22 19:21 21:11
. = .	America 7:8	attributable 26:10	25:9, 13 26:13, 23
< 5 >	American 31:4	attribute 15:13	27:1, 2, 14, 20 28:3,
5 34: <i>23</i>	ANDREW 1:4 2:5	avenue 18: <i>21</i>	6, 10, 15, 23 29:3,
<b>505</b> 2: <i>6</i>	Andy 12:6	_	11, 13, 16, 21 30:9,
. ( >	answer 27:3 28:6	<b></b>	11, 14, 17, 19, 23
<6>	answers 4:9	back 3:19 4:13	31:2
6 15:16 65 12:0 21:16	anybody 9:1 20:6	22:4 29:18	brother 31:1
<b>65</b> 12:9 31:16	27:4 31:8	backwards 32:13,	<b>brought</b> 6:23 7:18
		15	

20:4
<b>buddy</b> 12:15
bunch 22:23
<b>BURT</b> 1:1
business 27:23
< C >
call 24:8, 8, 9, 10,
11 25:6, 22
<b>called</b> 16:5 24:3
calling 24:17, 18, 19
campaign 6:14, 15,
18 9:20 10:3, 4
campaigns 9:17
Campbell 1:8 2:5,
6 10:19 12:4, 5, 6
20:19 23:9, 21
25:1 32:8 33:17
34: <i>15</i> , <i>21</i>
care 26:4
Carole 1:10 15:8
case 3:12, 12, 16,
20, 23 4:2 5:2, 23
6:10, 12 8:7 9:19,
`19 10:6, 9 11:18
12:12, 15 13:6, 16
14:1, 3, 6, 18, 20
15:6, 18 16:3, 5
17:7, 12 19:8
20:17 21:17 22:10,
19, 20 23:3 24:3,
18 25:7 27:17
28:17, 18, 21 31:17
32:13
<b>cases</b> 9:13, 14, 18 13:15 23:1 25:3
13:13 23:1 25:3
cause 1:14 34:15
CCR 34:21, 21 cert 22:18
<b>certainly</b> 9:5 18:6 23:20 24:22 26:7
certified 9:21
certify 1:9 34:5, 12
chambers 32:6
Charles 2:1
chew 23:19
children 10:17
CIRCUIT 1:1
cites 15:15

City 11:6 CIVIL 1:4 22:16 Claiborne 23:17 CLARK 1:4 clear 6:11 8:5 clearest 18:20 clearly 5:16 client 12:15 13:6 19:17 21:10 23:11 clients 16:22 coin 3:22 6:10 come 8:8 10:10 26:2 28:13 comes 7:2 17:14 19:8
commencing 1:13
Commission 34:23
Commissioner
34:22
committee 19:18
company 27:7
competitive 9:12
complaint 4:9
composure 26:21
Computerized 34:7
concern 29:21, 22
concluded 33:18
conclusion 31:17
conditions 3:23
<b>conduct</b> 17:20 19:19 33:10
conflating 30:6
connecting 3:18
connection 16:2
consequence 16:15
consequences 16:13,
14, 15
conspiracy 4:8
contended 3:13
contested 9:17
context 29:3, 4
30:4
continuance 17:20,
20
continue 15:5 19:2,
3
continued 5:22
contribution 11:5
contributions 6:14

11:1, 2, 9, 14, 15
15:8, 14, 16 17:23
18:6 22:1
<b>control</b> 18:10 20:5, 7, 11 21:10
controlling 20:4
Conwill 22:11, 14,
17
COOPER 1:4
correct 34:9
<b>corruption</b> 16:11, 12, 12
cost 24:2
Council 11:6
<b>counsel</b> 8:13 12:17
34: <i>13</i> <b>country</b> 15: <i>12</i>
COUNTY 1:1, 11
5:5 12:21 13:13 22:6 31:21 32:21
34:4
COURT 1:1, 8 3:6,
15, 21 4:18, 20 5:10 14 18 21 6:2
5:10, 14, 18, 21 6:2, 4, 8, 16, 22 7:12, 16,
20 8:12, 17, 21 9:2,
4, 7, 13, 20 10:2, 8,
15, 20 11:4, 11, 16,
21 12:6, 8, 8 13:4, 5, 8 15:12, 12, 20,
21, 21 16:16, 19, 20
17:19 19:11, 15, 16
21:5, 7, 8, 10, 16, 20
22:7, 16, 18 23:2,
18 24:9 26:6, 12, 15, 22 27:1, 13, 14,
16, 21 28:2, 5, 8, 12,
16 29:2, 9, 12, 14, 17 30:9, 12, 15, 18,
<i>17</i> 30:9, <i>12</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>18</i> ,
20 31:1, 3, 12, 21
32:1, 2, 10, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23
33:1, 14
Courthouse 1:11
<b>courtroom</b> 17: <i>16</i>
25:2 courts 12:22 13:2
18:18 33:10
Court's 3:10 19:6

**create** 11:19 created 10:22 32:2 current 4:5 CV-2015-900190 1:4 cyber 16:22 < D > **DA** 5:5 dare 14:17 **date** 1:10 day 1:12 7:2 17:10 26:17 days 21:2 deal 17:5, 6, 6 22:7 33:6 dealt 13:9 26:11 decision 6:5 defend 13:5, 5 16:19, 20, 23 17:1, **DEFENDANT** 2:5 Defendants 1:6 4:11 defending 17:2 deferred 22:9 demand 24:12, 13, 13 demanded 3:14 **demands** 24:14 **Dena** 1:8 34:15, 21 **denied** 22:18 dental 26:4 **Deny** 16:16 18:22 26:6 desk 32:3 determination 31:21 difference 10:18 **different** 9:19 30:6 directly 18:4 19:22 26:9 disappointed 31:5 disavow 25:13, 21 discovery 6:6 17:21 19:8 discretion 32:19 dismiss 17:7 25:7 dismissal 18:23

9:8, 11, 17 10:6, 7

dismissed 22:10	Facebook 25:16, 23	28:14	< H >
distorting 5:10	fact 3:17 5:13	Fox 2:11	half 7:21
District 22:7	facts 3:5, 9 5:11,	frankly 18:8	hallmark 32:20
doctor 7:3	15 10:9 14:8	fraud 31:23	hand 23:10, 13
<b>doggone</b> 21:13	factual 28:1	Friday 23:15	hands 19:10
doing 8:5 13:21	fair 15:22	frivolous 12:11	happen 18:18
14:6 17:12 33:11	fairly 17:19 33:12	13:15 16:17	happened 22:10
donations 26:3	fairness 19:6	front 9:18 33:4	27:15
doubly 19:11	32:15 33:2	full 27:14	hard 17:2
drove 29:19	falls 16:23	fundamental 13:4	
duty 13:4, 4	false 15:1 19:3	further 26:8 33:16	Hare 15:15, 23
15.4, 4	family 7:4, 22 9:5	34:12	16:7
< E >	10:12, 14, 17 11:3	34.12	headed 12:20
earlier 17:18 33:7	12:17 15:14 18:7	<g></g>	heading 12:13, 14
early 3:11 16:6	19:12		healthcare 27:7
echo 25:6	far 12:1 23:22	gates 14:15	hear 26:8 27:9
echoing 24:21	28:22	gentleman 12:10	28:21
Edward 2:8	farfetched 20:23	13:12	heard 5:7
either 20:8 24:23		Georgia 29:19	hearing 12:3
25:5	fastly 12:20 fear 33:15	give 13:19, 19	14:21 30:7 33:18
embarrass 3:14		15:7 26:1 32:15	34:6, 10
embarrassing 3:20	February 11:10	given 9:8, 10, 16	Hill 2:8, 8, 8 21:19,
5:2	fees 17:8 23:6	15:20 27:3 34:10	21 23:21 25:1
emotional 12:23	25:8	giving 4:14 19:6	31:20
employer 24:6	field 33:2	Go 5:19 13:12	honestly 7:15
employers 25:19	figure 11:22	14:18 16:10 18:12	honesty 33:2
enter 24:9 25:7	file 8:15	21:2 33:9	Honor 3:2 8:10,
entering 16:3	filed 3:12 4:18	God's 12:1	14, 20 12:6, 7 13:1,
entity 27:10 29:8	14:4 23:11, 12	goes 14:14 32:23	5 14:12 17:16
established 33:1	24:4 26:17 29:23	going 5:18 7:1	18:4, 15 19:1, 5, 16,
estoppel 4:1 6:9	filing 3:13	10:10, 11, 12 13:11,	20, 21 23:9, 13, 15,
et 1:6	filings 13:7 15:1	13, 17 14:7, 23	16 26:13, 14 27:2,
ethical 13:23	_	15:5, 14 17:1, 2	8, 20 28:3, 10, 15,
ethically 14:5	financing 25:15 finish 10:20	19:2, 13 20:15, 16	23 29:4, 11, 13, 16,
everybody 33:11	finished 10:19	22:4 23:13, 19, 23	21 30:11, 14, 17, 19,
evidence 3:16 4:8,	Firm 2:2 16:11	25:13, 20 27:9	23 31:2 32:9
11 5:1, 5, 6 6:12	29:7	28:17, 19 29:7, 23	33:13, 17
7:13 18:11 20:7	fit 8:16	golden 19:13	Honorable 1:10
26:9		Good 3:1 15:21	honored 31:9
exactly 13:20	<b>five</b> 4:14 18:9 <b>flood</b> 14:14	Google 25:16	hostility 7:14
exactly 13.20 example 15:7		Gossett 2:8	hours 31:18
example 15:7	follow 8:1 16:16	grace 12:1	Hueytown 2:3
Expires 34:21, 23	following 1:14	grant 17:17 18:22	husband 6:16, 23
expunge 22:13	foray 20:9	granted 17:18	7:17, 19 8:2 10:1
extort 24:19	Forbes 12:16 14:10	23:5, 6	11:12, 14 29:15
extrajudicial 17:13		great 15:21, 22	husband's 6:15, 18
extra-judiciary 20:5	force 13:18 17:7	grounds 18:3, 3, 5	-75
CAU a-judiciary 20:3	foregoing 34:6, 9 Forest 2:2	Guin 2:6	<i></i>
< <b>F</b> >		gun 22:4	illegitimate 15:8
`I''	forward 26:2		ill-prepared 32:7, 7
		1	ı

imagine 24:7	17:12 18:2 33:10	leaking 31:7	menacing 22:5
impartiality 3:5	judge's 3:5	leaks 25:11	merits 13:8
importantly 27:5	judgment 4:21	legal 25:12 31:7	midnight 12:18
improperly 11:20	14:8, 22 17:17	legally 14:5 33:12	minute 27:1
21: <i>I</i>	18:23 19:23 20:15	_ •	l .
impropriety 10:23	30:5	legislature 18:1	mockery 12:10
		length 24:23	17:15 18:21 23:2
including 12:19	judicial 3:23 6:9	letter 4:14, 17	money 24:15, 20
independence	judiciary 16:18	24:12	27:4
12:21 13:2, 14	June 1:12	letters 24:5	Montgomery 17:9,
14:16	jury 24:10 27:17	<b>letting</b> 18: <i>17</i>	10 31:16
independent 11:23	28:20, 21 31:10	level 33:2	months 15:17
16:17	32:18	lie 16:1, 4	Moody 2:9
indicates 13:16	justice 5:7 12:11	lies 13:7 17:22	morally 14:4 17:4
individual 23:3	17:15 18:21 24:8,	life 11:22 12:1	33:13
influence 11:20	12 32:20	23:1	morning 3:1 10:21
21:1		Lightfoot 18:14	12:23 17:3 23:11,
information 6:1	< K >	line 5:4	12:25 17:3 23:11,
20:5 26:2	kin 34:13	list 15:8	
inside 3:22	kind 18:15 26:18	1	motion 3:2 13:10
		listen 5:14	14:2, 3, 13, 23
instructed 4:11	knew 28:12	litigant 33:3	15:11 16:17 18:22,
5:16	know 3:11 5:1	litigation 4:22	22 19:23 20:15
integrity 27:16	6:17 7:6, 10, 20	little 10:17 12:23	22:13 23:4 30:5, 7
31:13	10:10 15:17 16:4	LLC 2:6	
intended 20:2	19: <i>12</i> 21: <i>13</i> 22: <i>21</i>	long 27:8, 13	< N >
interested 20:9	25:12, 20 27:7, 14,	look 3:7	name 8:11
26:8 34:14	18 28:16 30:18	lord 33:14	near 24: <i>23</i>
internet 24:5	33:1	lose 13:13 20:17	needed 30:1
involved 8:22	knowledge 3:4, 9	losing 12:20	needs 14:20, 20
25:10	4:15 26:15, 18	lost 20:18	17:22 19:5 33:1
issue 20:19	27:22, 22	ludicrous 22:1, 2	neither 9:23 34:12
issues 29:6	knows 16:18	lying 15:3, 3	never 4:17 12:9
its 6:5		13.11.5	23:1 25:4
	<l></l>	< M >	new 32:13
< J >	lady's 26:4	ma'am 5:12 9:6	
James 2:8	Large 34:22	23:8	news 16:8, 20
JEFFERSON 1:1,	Lastly 20:13	mail 25:18	Newsom 25:20
11 5:5 34:4	T		NEWSOME 1:1
	late 16:5	maintain 26:21	3:1 4:23 5:12, 16,
join 13: <i>23</i>	Law 2:2 12:21	man 19:1 22:5, 5	20 6:7, 20 7:10, 13,
Jr 2:8	13:3, 13 14:9	33:15	18 8:23 9:3, 6, 10,
Judge 7:11, 14	15:10 16:11, 16	manipulate 8:6	16, 23 10:5, 13, 22
11:5 12:16, 20	18: <i>13</i> 20: <i>1</i> 22: <i>21</i>	manner 6:13	11:8, 13, 17 20:21
13:15, 20 14:17, 20	25:5 29:7	matter 6:2	21:6, 9, 14 24:15
15:5, <i>17</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>22</i>	lawsuit 12: <i>11</i>	mean 8:23 28:5, 8	26:5 31:6, 19 32:4
16: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> 17: <i>7</i> ,	lawyer 8:15 15:19	meant 10:14	Newsome's 25:12
14 19:9, 14 21:21	20:14 28:19 30:10	media 4:5	night 12:19 13:10
22:3, 11, 13, 17	lawyers 13:6 15:3	member 4:4 8:1	15:5 16: <i>1</i>
26:18 31:4, 4 33:5	16:12, 21 19:12	11:6 15:14 28:2	nonjudicial 24:14
judges 9:8, 11, 17	30:10	30:22	nonstarter 20:20
13: <i>14</i> 14: <i>16</i> 16: <i>23</i>	leaked 31:8	members 18:7	North 2:6
1010 10,23	ISHING JI.U	member 5 10./	1101th 2.0

<b>November</b> 9:22 11:9	<b>parties</b> 1:13 32:18 34:11, 13	protect 27:16	representing 8:15
number 3:18 20:3	*	28:20 31:12 32:17	19:17
•	party 12:18	protective 31:9	represents 34:9
25:2, 3	pay 26:3	protector 33:15	request 4:21 5:23
numbers 4:8, 15, 16	paying 25:16, 16,	<b>proud</b> 33:4	requested 4:12
numerous 5:22	17, 18	<b>prove</b> 19:7	requests 23:5
9:7 25:11	payment 24:14, 20	publicly-traded	requiring 3:6
	<b>PC</b> 2:2, 8, 11	25:19	reseal 5:3
< O >	pending 4:19	pulled 22:4	respect 13:8
oath 25:21 27:13	20:14	put 10:17 16:10	respond 29:22
30:9	people 14:15	23:14	30:1
objection 4:18	18:14 20:9 31:20	23.17	response 20:21
objective 3:6	people's 25:19	< Q >	result 34:15
obligation 13:23	permission 26:13	<u> </u>	
28:1	person 3:4, 8 7:5	question 3:5, 9	retriever 19:13
obstructing 5:7	32:7	11:19 27:3 28:7	return 24:10
		quick 27:2	review 33:9
obtained 31:22	petition 22:18		reward 26:1
obviously 22:12	phone 3:18 4:7, 16	< R >	<b>RICO</b> 13:18
offered 25:22	piece 32:4, 5	races 10:1	<b>rid</b> 18: <i>21</i>
officer 12:8 13:3	place 21:7, 16	raised 5:8 30:3	<b>Right</b> 4:23 7:14
19:16 26:6 28:2	<b>Plaintiff</b> 1:3 2:1	read 26:18 28:9,	8: <i>15</i> 12: <i>2</i> 14: <i>21</i>
32:22	12:10 19:7 32:16	11, 12 29:18 32:4,	28:22 29:1 31:17
official 21:3	33:8	5, 13	rings 24:17
Okay 8:19	playing 33:2	<b>Real</b> 27:2	Road 2:2
<b>old</b> 12:9	pleading 15:4	reason 27:15	<b>Robby</b> 23:17
oldest 31:3	pleadings 5:9 8:11	reasonable 3:4, 8	Robert 2:9 4:13
ones 11:7 27:12	24:4 26:17 29:19	recall 29:5	<b>Roger</b> 15:9
onslaught 19:2	please 10:20 12:5	recognized 15:13	Ronnlund 2:9
open 14:14	<b>PO</b> 2:9, 11	record 3:14 31:15	4:13 23:16, 17
opportunity 19:7	point 4:23 19:22	32:16 33:1	26:20
opposing 12:17	20:3, 13 24:1 25:9	records 4:16	rule 6:2 12:21
opposition 10:1	political 11:22	recusal 3:2, 3	
order 4:2 6:19	17:23	14:14 15:11 18:5	13:3, 13 14:13
14:22 22:12 31:9	position 23:18	32:12	15:2
organization 27:5	post 26:3	1	ruled 14:7 17:11
originating 27:4	-	reelection 21:23	ruling 24:9
, ,	posts 24:4	reflect 32:16	run 25:14, 17
ought 23:5, 6, 7	practiced 24:22	regards 6:19	running 21: <i>22</i>
out-of-court 24:14	practicing 18:13	rejected 17:11	
outside 3:7 20:12	22: <i>21</i>	related 26:9	< S >
overrule 17:17	previously 23:18	relates 30:2	safest 18:20
_	primarily 11:9	relationship 15:18	salacious 29:6
< P >	<b>prior</b> 29:5	release 6:3 22:9,	saw 10:11
<b>PACs</b> 18:8, 10	<b>problem</b> 16:21	<i>14</i> , <i>15</i> 23:4 31:22	saying 13:17 21:4
page 25:23	proceedings 1:15	relief 17:13, 14	school 25:5
paper 32:5, 6	4:5 34:7	remain 32: <i>21</i>	Scott 2:11
Pardon 9:2	process 11:18 16:3	remove 4:22	seal 3:12
part 17:23 23:12,	31:13	repeated 13:7	sealed 3:21 27:18
20	proper 25:6 30:8	Reporter 1:8	28:16 29:6
partiality 3:10	proposed 14:22	represent 12:7	sealing 4:2
particular 27:5, 10	prosecution 22:9	-I	- January 1,2
· · · · , - · ·	I = 22.7		

Titotion for feeding	a.		4
second 10:7 13:19	stand 14:12, 13	system 14:15 18:1	timing 10:5 11:1,
20:3	16:17 18:20 25:13,	23:2 27:17 28:20	17
secretary 9:22	21 26:5	32:18	today 14:20 16:15
see 17:12	standing 16:18		17:17 19:5, 15
seek 31:10 33:8	start 12:16 13:17	< T >	23:20
seeking 4:8 31:11	started 18:13	tactics 27:6	told 27:8 28:18
seen 4:17 12:9	22:21	take 7:2 17:9	tongue 12:2 23:19
20:7 23:1 25:4	starts 14:10	18:19 22:8 31:16	tongue 12.2 23.19 top 5:20 6:8
sees 8:16	state 9:22 19:18	taken 34:6	transcribed 34:8
Seier 23:17	31:4 34:3, 22	talk 5:22 6:3, 4, 6,	transcript 34:10
send 4:12, 15	statements 19:3	7, 8 7:2 31:15	treat 33:11
sense 7:13 15:1	stayed 6:5	talking 7:11 11:4,	trial 15:22 21:2
sent 4:14 7:7	Stenotype 34:7	8, 11 21:14, 15	24:18 31:10
separate 15:4	stockholders 25:18	28:17	
served 33:4	stop 19:4, 4 21:5	team 25:12 31:7	tried 13:15 22:23 25:3
set 13:7	Street 2:6		
settlement 24:11	Streetman 2:11	tell 5:19 28:5, 8, 22 29:18	true 9:19 20:10
Shelby 22:6 31:21	stretching 10:8	tells 12:2	21:6 31:10 34:9
shield 33:15	structured 6:13	tempted 19:2	truest 24:17
Shores 30:12	11:1	tempted 19.2 terms 6:3 10:12	truth 22:19 24:13
showing 32:9, 10,	study 25:5	20:10 29:7	28:13
11	study 23.3 stuff 7:21 25:18	test 3:3, 6 6:21	try 13:18
shut 4:6	26:19 28:9, 17	Thank 8:19 19:20	trying 8:6 11:20 17:15 27:16 32:17
side 4:3	subject 14:19	21:21 23:8 33:14,	1
sides 3:22 6:10	subjective 6:20	17	turned 4:3 5:4 TV 25:17
signed 22:9 23:3	submitted 14:21	thing 19:12 21:3,	twice 15:9
simply 20:10	subpoena 4:7, 10,	13, 22 22:3 25:6	two 10:5 13:9
single 24:11	10	31:6 32:8	
sir 10:3 21:20	sue 13:18	things 30:6	15:15 20:3 30:6, 13
sit 13:22 28:21	suggest 26:20	think 3:7 11:23	
29:17, 20	suit 3:13	14:18 20:15, 19	< U >
sitting 27:19, 21	Suite 2:2, 6	23:22 24:16 25:20	unbiassed 28:21
31:3	Sullivan 2:11	26:8, 10 33:5, 6	understand 7:22
slander 15:1 16:22	summary 4:20	thinks 12:13 14:6	8:17, 18
23:11	14:7, 22 17:17	20:16, 18	undone 30:16
slandered 12:18	18:23 19:23 20:14	third 3:8	unfairly 7:4
slandering 12:16	30:5	Thirty-five 7:23	unheard 18:15
slightly 29:4	sun 24:7	thought 23:19	unopposed 21:23
Smitherman 1:11	supplement 23:10,	threaten 5:3	unsealed 3:15, 15
15:9, 10	12	threatened 4:3	upheld 22:17
somebody 19:17	support 14:9	three 4:22 15:4,	upset 7:16 8:4, 4
sort 21:22	supported 20:1	17 30:13 31:11	10:15
speak 26:14	supportive 6:12	threw 22:12	15.15
speaking 11:7	suppressing 5:6	throw 13:16	< V >
speaks 23:15	suppression 6:11	time 17:10 19:8, 9	valid 22:14, 15
specific 4:14	Supreme 6:2	24:23 27:8, 13	various 5:9
<b>spouse</b> 15:13	15:12 22:17 32:23	29:5, 8	verdict 24:10, 13,
<b>spouses</b> 25:19	surfaced 3:16	times 5:22 15:4	19
	surfaces 5:1,6	25:2	vicious 14:11
	, -		A 114.4
	l	I	1

TATOCION TOT INCCUSA	41		4
<b>violation</b> 3:23 15:2	writes 22:12		1
<b>volume</b> 32: <i>3</i>	written 24:5		
volumes 32:4	wrong 7:5 14:4, 4,		
votes 9:21	5, 5 17:4, 4 18:6		
vs 1:4	19:10, 11, 19 31:7		
	33:12, 13, 13		
< W >	wrote 7:21		
wait 6:1 27:1	Wynn 15:15, 23		
want 19:21 23:10	16:7		
24:1 26:21 27:8	10.7	·	
32:16	< Y >	,	
wanted 3:20 23:4	years 4:22 8:1		
28:20	12:9, 9, 12 22:22,		
wants 6:8 13:12	23 31:11		
26:5 33:8			
warrant 22:5			
Warren 18:14			
way 10:23 17:5, 6			
18: <i>19</i> 19: <i>23</i> 20: <i>2</i>			
33:6			
website 7:7 10:18			
13:18 16:10, 19			]
23:14 25:11, 15			
26:16 27:4, 23			
28:18 31:7			
website's 25:23			
week 14:3, 10			
weeks 13:9			
weight 23: <i>22</i>			
Well 4:23 6:20			
7:13 11:15 16:18			
21:9 26:22 27:15			
went 22:6			
We're 3:2, 13			
12:13, 14, 20, 20			
13:11, 12, 17 17:1,			
1			
We've 17:5 24:3, 4,			
5, 6 31:11			
wife's 24:6			
win 20:16			
wise 23:19			
withdraw 14:1, 3			
20:14, 17			
withdrawing 20:13			
work 30:16			
worse 14:23			
worse 14:25 worth 26:4			
write 16:21			